Using Solar Power in Grazing Systems

Brian C. Peterson State Grassland Conservationist NRCS

Forum on Energy Efficiency in Agriculture November 15, 2005 Des Moines, Iowa

Topography of the Farm

- •Rolling hills of D and E slopes (10-18%)
- Slopes lengths of ~200 ft.
- Elevation changes of
 50'+ from ridge to
 drainageway



Drinking Directly from a Pond or other Surface Water Pros Cons

- Cheapest No fencing
- Many animals water at once

- > Poor water quality
- Shoreline erosion
- > Animal safety
- Shortening of pond life
- Poor Wildlife Habitat

Nose Pumps Pros Cons

- Cattle pump their own water
- Water can be pumped uphill
- Cattle never reach the pond
- Improved water quality

- Only adult cattle can push the pump
- Only one cow drinks at a time
- Lead cow drinks first
- Can only lift water
 20'



Solar Powered Pumping Pros Cons

- "Free" energy
- Fewer moving parts
- Panels & Pump can be near water supply
- Choice of pumps for your supply needs

- Must stockpile water for nights and cloudy days
- Panels expensive and targets for vandalism
- Winter use would be difficult and could be expensive

Solar Pump Types

- 1. Submersible Pumps
- 2. Jet Pumps
- 3. Diaphragm Pumps

Submersible Pumps

- Pump is underwater pushing up
- Best for wells
- Can hang off a floating dock in ponds
- High head pressure, can lift water to high elevations and long distances
- More expensive
- Not good maintenance access
- Wires must be underwater

Jet Pumps

- Sits on shore, usually near panels
- Has fair suction pressure
- Can pump large flows at a time
- Lacks head pressure, so cannot push water very far uphill
- Works well as long as waterlines are nearly on contour or lower than the pump



Diaphragm Pumps

- Most inexpensive by far (~\$250)
- Pumps only low volumes (1.4 to 3.6 gal/min)
- But pumps with high head pressure
- So low flows can go to high and distant locations
- Best when used with water storage tank
- Sits near shore, easily accessible or can be placed on a floating dock
- Low inlet suction pressure

Shurflo 8000 Diaphragm Pump



- Only 1.4 gal per min.
- Cost \$250
- 24 Volt D.C.

Solar Pumping System



- Inlet 18" below float
- Rigid PVC Pipe to shore
- Priming valve
- Strainer
- Gray box holds controller
- Pump
- Hose bib
- Shut off valve
- Pipe to storage tank

Solar Panels





Water Storage Tank at top of Hill · Hold's 3



days supply Gravity feed to 5 tanks • 56' vertical lift & 487' horz from pond

Hose, Pipe, & Tanks

Oval tank under fence serves 2 paddocks Gravity feeds water from storage tank



Summary of Costs

Solar Panels \$280 ea. X 4 = \$1,120 Diaphragm Pump \$250 Controller \$135 Strainer \$25 Storage Tank \$180 (used) Electrical wiring \$37 Water Pipe \$278 Frame for solar panels \$115 Other fittings, connections, misc. \$144 TOTAL = \$2,284



Solar Powered Electric Fence

- Solar panels charge a battery
- •System has been in place since 1991
- Battery has been replaced 2 or 3 times
- System has worked very well







Solar Water System Summary

- Have had some problems with the system losing it's prime
- •System "sucks' directly from pond so need a strainer to filter foreign matter
- Lack of expertise on solar pump systems at the local level
- Refill rate of reservoir is slow

On the Plus Side

•A solar system can help get water to locations that might be inaccessible with other systems

- ·Can be cheaper if power lines are
- > $\frac{1}{2}$ mile away

It is an environmentally friendly system

Solar power has a place in some grazing systems, but it is not a "*silver bullet*"