

Appliance Energy Use in America's Second Home: The Automobile

Valerie M. Thomas, Georgia Institute of Technology

Alan K. Meier and Thomas P. Wenzel, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Siva G. Gunda, University of California, Davis

ABSTRACT

The average American spends nearly 500 hours per year in motor vehicles, about as much time as they spend in all buildings other than their primary residence. Building-like energy use in vehicles parallels that found in homes, but with some notable differences. Vehicle air conditioners are much less efficient than residential air conditioners, with the result that vehicle air conditioners in the U.S. consume a total of about 0.9 Quads, comparable to the 2.3 Quads used by air conditioners in residences. Vehicle heating, in contrast, is a model of efficiency, running as a combined-heat-and-power system using waste heat from the motor. The electricity use from appliances such as DVD players, laptops, and vehicle refrigerators remains modest, although stand-by power use is a growing issue.

The efficiency and cost of vehicle-generated power depends on the appliance and how and when it is used, but even in the best case the efficiency is lower and the cost is higher than for typical residential electricity services. Generation efficiency ranges from 10 to 25%, and for gasoline costs of \$3.20 per gallon, the cost of power ranges from 37¢/kWh to \$1/kWh.

Automobiles are, in effect, a type of building, and efficiency strategies for automobile-based appliances and the heating and cooling characteristics of the automobile building envelope can be developed in parallel to strategies for other building types.

Vehicles as a Type of Building

Americans spend an increasing number of hours each day in automobiles (Polzin et al., 2004). To be sure, the principal reason is to move from one location to another but the driver and other occupants also accomplish other tasks while in their vehicles. Many of these activities are familiar to building researchers: people in cars drink, eat, listen to audio entertainment, shave, communicate with friends on the telephone, and watch videos. All of these activities traditionally occurred inside buildings and have either shifted or expanded to mobile use. Furthermore, appliances that once resided solely in buildings are now becoming common in vehicles or being moved from one to the other.

These trends suggest that the automobile has become an extension of the residence and office. It is therefore important to understand the energy implications of the buildings-like activities within cars and their relationship to other buildings. Are there energy-saving opportunities in motor vehicles related to these new loads? Alternatively, can energy-saving strategies in vehicles be transferred to "stationary" buildings? The goal of this paper is to introduce and describe a new building type and describe buildings-related energy use in motor vehicles.

This paper is limited to an analysis of building-like energy use in light-duty vehicles. However, a similar situation exists with heavy-duty long-haul tractor-trailer trucks. Truck drivers often pull off the side of the road or into truck stops to sleep after their driving shift, and

many run their engines through the night to provide heating, cooling, and electricity for other uses such as lighting or entertainment. This practice has lead EPA and several states to provide incentives for truck stops to provide electricity “hookups” to power these end-uses when the truck is not moving.

Vehicle Occupancy Levels

The national Human Activity Pattern Survey (HAPS) indicates that the average American spent 79 minutes per day in an enclosed vehicle in 1992-94 (Klepeis et al. 2001). Table 1 summarizes the results of the HAPS, for all respondents and for those respondents that actually occupied the environment in question (called “doers”). For example, the average time all respondents occupied a vehicle was 79 minutes per day (481 hours per year). However, only 83% of respondents actually occupied a vehicle during the survey; this subset of respondents was in a vehicle an average of 95 minutes per day (578 hours per year).

Table 1. Estimates of Time Spent in Specific Environments (1992-94 HAPS)

Environment	Mean time (min/day) overall	Percent of average day spent in each environment overall	Mean time (min/day) for “doers”
Residence	990	69%	996
Office/factory	78	5%	388
Bar/restaurant	27	2%	112
Other indoor	158	11%	267
Enclosed vehicle	79	5%	95
Outdoors	109	8%	184
Total	1,441	100%	N/A

Source: Klepeis et al. 2001

From Table 1, the total number of hours spent in vehicles can be estimated as either 79 minutes/day times total population (296.4 million in 2005), or 95 minutes/day times vehicle population (238.7 million light-duty vehicles in 2005). With time spent in cars rising, these figures indicate that at least 138 to 142 billion total hours were spent in vehicles in 2005.

What Buildings-Related Energy Consumption Occurs in Vehicles?

The car has a building envelope, with walls, windows—lots of them— doors, and air infiltration. These elements have R-values, transparencies, and air leakage which, if not controlled will create thermally uncomfortable conditions. Like a building, a car is heated, cooled, and ventilated. The modern car offers its occupants illumination, a range of consumer electronics, and food-related services.¹ These are all familiar end uses in buildings. The energy devoted to propelling the car is *not* considered buildings-related (and still represents the overwhelming majority of energy consumption of vehicles). It is relatively easy to distinguish

¹ These services are provided by both combustion and electrical devices though, like buildings, a continuing trend towards electrification is occurring. Renewable energy technologies—in the form of small PV arrays—are now appearing.

between the motion-related and buildings-related uses. In our compilation, only headlights could be reasonably assigned to either category.² There are also increasing electric loads from vehicle-related equipment including anti-theft equipment, tele de-lock, and electronic engine controllers which are kept in wake mode for some time after stand-still to provide quick re-start (Meissner & Richter 2003).

Non-Electrical Building Services in Vehicles: Heating and Cooling

Automotive heating systems use waste heat from the engine and, except for the fans, are not electrically powered. Cooling systems in light-duty vehicles run about 80% on shaft power and 20% on electricity produced from the alternator.

Air conditioning in light-duty vehicles consumes roughly 5.5% of all vehicle fuel (Rugh et al. 2007), corresponding to 0.94 Quads of primary energy per year (Davis & Diegel 2007). For comparison, air conditioning in the residential sector is responsible for 2.3 Quads (DOE 2006).

Air conditioners in cars have unusual design features; they are sized in order to quickly lower the temperature of a dangerously hot (over 150 degrees F) vehicle that has sat in the sun for a long period down to a comfortable temperature (Rugh et al. 2007). The cooling capacity is typically around 6 kW (20 kBtu/hour), which is similar to that needed to cool a house. Thus, for most situations, the air conditioner is drastically oversized. Conventional auto air conditioners cannot modulate output so the temperature is adjusted by mixing heated air from the engine, similar to terminal re-heat in commercial building HVAC systems. The typical system COP for an auto air conditioner is about 1.6 (EER = 5.5) (Gado 2006) but test conditions differ from residential units so these values are only indicative (Hendricks 2003).

Strategies to reduce vehicle air conditioning fuel use include variable output compressors, reduced thermal loads using reflective paints and glazings, better controls, and consumer information (Rugh, Hovland, & Andersen 2004). There is also a trend towards electrically-powered air conditioners, especially in hybrid vehicles (Meissner & Richter 2005). This approach allows variable output and operation while the engine is switched off. Rooftop photovoltaic collectors have also been investigated as a means of cooling vehicle cabins while the car is parked (Rugh et al. 2007).

NREL has estimated that, on average, vehicle air conditioning is switched on 34% of the time, ranging from 6% of the time in Alaska to 69% of the time in Hawaii (NESCCAF 2004; Johnson 2002). A survey of 10 instrumented vehicles in Southern California found that vehicle air conditioners were used in 69% of trips, and that, when air conditioners were switched on, the compressor was on an average of 64% of the duration of the trip (Levine et al. 2000).

A transition toward hybrid and electric vehicles will increase the reliance of vehicles on electricity for heating and cooling.

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has identified reducing air conditioner energy use in light-duty vehicles as one of its “early actions” in reducing greenhouse gas emissions statewide to 1990 levels by 2020. CARB staff have proposed requiring reflective coatings and window glazings on all new vehicles sold in the state (CARB, 2008).

² We assigned headlights to buildings because they represent a form of “outside lighting”, which is typically included in residential energy use. Turn signals, on the other hand, are a mobile use.

Electrically Powered Building-Like Services in Vehicles

Lighting

Lighting energy consists of interior and exterior lighting. We treat headlights as part of buildings-related energy consumption. The IEA estimated the electricity consumed by each external light function based on the power and number of hours of operations (IEA 2006). The data are summarized in Table 2.

In 1995, the typical car had four interior lights. In 2001 the average had already climbed to 15 (Murphy 2001). Some are rated at above 10 W (though a shift to LEDs is underway). We assumed eight 3-watt bulbs in the average vehicle and that lights were operated for 3 minutes/day, which might occur as a result of door opening and closing (see Table 2). However, some lights may actually stay on while driving or occupants may deliberately keep some lights on while the car is stationary; including these activities could easily double energy consumption.

Table 2. Lighting Use in Light-Duty Vehicles

Light	Operating Time (hours/year)	Typical Power for Incandescent Design (W)	Annual Energy Use (kWh/year)	Est. percent time in operation (based on 480-580 hrs driving/year)
Headlamps (high beam)	24	65	1.6	4-5%
Headlamps (low beam)	115	55	6.3	20-24%
Rear tail lamps	115	7	0.8	20-24%
Daytime running lamps	141	40	5.6	24-29%
Interior lights	146	20	2.9	25-30%
Brake lamps, turn signals	5			
Dashboard lights	5			
Total			17.3	

Source: IEA 2006

Other Electrically Powered Products

There are also an increasing number of products which may either come with the car (GPS navigation systems) or may be purchased by consumers for use in the car (seat warmers, refrigerators for vehicles), or are home appliances that are also used in vehicles (laptop computers). Power inverters, offered as original equipment or as retrofits, can provide up to 350 watts of AC power and can be used to provide power to run a TV, DVD, video game system, or other products. Cigarette lighter power adapters are now being marketed for running computers,

TVs, DVDs and other equipment for hours at a time when the car is off (SterlingTek 2008). Table 3 illustrates typical power requirements for energy-using products designed for vehicles.

Neither the number of vehicles with these appliances, nor the usage of these appliances are known. To illustrate the potential energy use of these appliances, we calculated the energy use of these appliances if they were used 100 hours per year. 100 hours corresponds to using these appliances about 20% of the time that an average vehicle is driven. It is clear that some appliances in Table 3 may be used more than 100 hours per year – audio systems, perhaps – and some appliance may be used less – such as seat warmers.

Table 3. Electric Appliances Used in Vehicles

Appliance	Typical Power (W)	Illustrative Annual Energy Use (kWh/yr)
Large speakers/stereo	200	20
TV/DVD combo	150	15
GPS Navigator	88	8.8
Moderate speakers/stereo	60	6
Refrigerators	60	6
Laptop computer	50	5
DVD	50	5
13" color TV	50	5
Car stereo systems (simple)	40	4
Seat Warmers	40	4
Video Game Console	20	2
Charger for cell phone/PDA	15	1.5
Standard car radio	4	0.4

Sources: *Audio*: Crutchfield 2007; Z28 Forum 2007; Mikhaylova 2003. *GPS*: AI-eds, 2008; *Refrigerators*: PPL 2008; *Seat warmers*: Smarthome, 2008; *Other*: JC Whitney 2008.

Overall power used in vehicles is reported to have risen from less than 500 W in the 1960s to more than 2kW by the year 2000 (Meissner & Richter 2003). The net power use is much less than in typical homes; however (as noted below), the costs of operating these appliances is inflated by the high cost of vehicle-generated electricity.

Standby power for some of these appliances can have a far greater impact than in stationary buildings, because stand-by power can drain the battery, making the vehicle inoperable. Moreover, there is a second kind of standby power associated with appliances in cars: all of the accessories add weight to the vehicle and result in increased fuel use according to their weight. For example, a DVD player and display, if kept in the vehicle continuously, will use about as much petroleum to be transported in the vehicle as they will use in electricity to operate. And the proliferation of such appliances in vehicles will likely continue.

Energy Needed to Produce a kWh in a Vehicle

The energy needed to produce a kWh in a vehicle varies depending on the appliance and how and when it is used. Figure 1 shows power generation modes in vehicles and their corresponding efficiencies. The conversion of the chemical energy of the gasoline into mechanical energy at the crankshaft is about 25-30% efficient (DOE and EPA 2007; Fenske et al. 2006). The belt that transfers power from the shaft to all mechanical loads is about 95%

efficient (Gates 2008), which means that mechanical loads have an efficiency of about 26% (23.8-28.5%).

Figure 1. Power Generation Pathways and Efficiencies

Power generation and usage steps						Net pathway efficiency	
Engine efficiency to convert chemical energy in fuel to mechanical energy at the crankshaft ~ 25-30 %	Belt efficiency that transfers power from shaft to all mechanical loads, typically serpentine belt ~ 95%					~ 23.8-28.5%	
		Alternator efficiency that converts mechanical energy from the connecting belts to electrical energy is ~ 50-62%	Wiring connections efficiencies are ~ 100%	When the engine is running, the battery acts as a stabilizer. Therefore, there are no significant charging-discharging losses	DC-AC converter for plug loads have an efficiency of ~ 75-90%	~ 11.8-17.8%	
				When engine is turned off, all electrical components run on the battery power and the associated charging-discharging efficiencies are in the order of ~ 70-92%		~ 8.9-15.9%	
						~ 8.3-16.3%	
				DC-AC converter for plug loads have an efficiency of ~ 75-90%	~ 6.2-14.6%		
Load							

Mechanical energy is converted to electricity with an alternator; alternators have efficiencies in the range of 50-62% (Bosch 1996). The wiring has negligible losses. When the engine is running, the battery acts as a stabilizer, so there are not significant charging/discharging losses and electricity production therefore has an efficiency of about 15% (11.8-17.8%). AC devices that are plugged in to the cigarette lighter or other plug will incur an additional loss from the conversion of DC to AC at an efficiency of 75 to 90% (All-battery.com 2008; Labkorea.com 2008), resulting in plug load efficiency of about 12% (8.9-15.9%) when the vehicle is turned on.

When the vehicle is turned off, all electrical components run on battery power; the charging/discharging efficiency is in the range of 70-92% (DOE 1995), so the efficiency of electricity production is only about 12% (8.3-16.3%) and only about 10% (6.2-14.6%) for plug loads.

Table 4 shows generation efficiency and costs for five different cases. It shows that, for a gasoline cost of \$3.20 per gallon, the cost of power in a vehicle ranges from about 37¢ per kWh (mechanical) to run the air conditioner, to about \$1 per kWh (electrical) to run appliances while the vehicle is turned off. We estimate that the average cost of generating electricity is at least 80 cents/kWh. This is about seven times the price of residential electricity in the United States.

Table 4. Energy Efficiency and Power Cost for Vehicle Appliances

Cases	Example	Comments	Power generation Costs (\$3.2/gal) & (36.63 kWh/gal) = 8.74 cents/kWh	Average cost
Case 1: Hybrid case - part of the appliances run on shaft power and rest on electrical power	A/C unit: compressors run directly from the shaft through a belt and blower and the controls run on electricity.	Say we have a 80-20 shaft to direct alternator load distribution, it equates to ~ 21.4-26.4% efficiency	\$0.33 - \$0.41 /kWh	\$0.37 / kWh
Case2: DC Power generation cost at margin during vehicle travel	Wiper, power steering etc	~ 11.8-17.8% efficiency	\$0.49 - \$0.74 /kWh	\$0.62 / kWh
Case 3: AC Power Generation cost at plug loads while the vehicle is running	Laptops etc	~ 8.9-15.9% efficiency	\$0.55 - \$0.98 /kWh	\$0.77 / kWh
Case 4: DC Power usage through stored battery power	Starter motor etc	~ 8.3-16.3% efficiency	\$0.54 - \$1.05 /kWh	\$0.80 / kWh
Case 5: AC Power usage at plug loads through stored battery power	Laptops etc	~ 6.2-14.6% efficiency	\$0.60 - \$1.41 /kWh	\$1.01 / kWh

Conclusions

Light-duty vehicles increasingly provide many of the services normally associated with buildings: heating, cooling, and ventilation, lights, entertainment systems, communication systems, and even some kitchen services. All of these services consume energy. Despite increasing emphasis on energy efficiency in buildings and in automobiles, these uses of energy have received little attention.

Automotive heating systems are a model of efficient building heating systems, in effect a mobile combined heat-and-power system. However, air conditioners in vehicles use an

estimated 0.94 quads of primary energy annually in the US, whereas air conditioners in residential US buildings use 2.3 quads, even though vehicles are much smaller than residential buildings and even though people spend only about an hour and a half per day in vehicles as opposed to 16 hours per day in residences. Turler et al. (2003) have shown that thermal insulation and window technologies can reduce heating and cooling loads, resulting in greater fuel efficiency for conventional and hybrid vehicles, increased range for electric vehicles, increased passenger comfort and reduced degradation of interior surface. Zhai (2001) suggests that improved compressors could significantly improve the efficiency of automotive air conditioning systems.

Other appliances used in vehicles have a relatively modest energy footprint, consuming about an order of magnitude less energy than automobile air conditioners. However, this is somewhat offset by the high cost of vehicle-generated electricity. Starting with the 2008 model year, the US EPA includes the fuel used by air conditioners in the testing done to establish the fuel economy printed on the consumer information labels. However, fuel used to power air conditioners is not measured in the tests done to ensure manufacturer compliance with fleetwide fuel economy (CAFE) standards.

Use of reflective coatings and window glazings would be good steps to improve the thermal properties of passenger vehicles. However, a more integrated approach, that also considers air conditioner compressor sizing and efficiency, as well electrochromic (switchable) window glazings, thermal shell insulation, and other factors, may be more effective. Energy efficiency standards and Energy Star specification could be extended to electrical appliances used in cars. Building efficiency standards such as Energy Star Homes could be extended to the building-like characteristics of automobiles and other vehicles.

Automobiles are a type of building. Their building-like energy efficiency – thermal envelope, heating, cooling, and appliance energy use – can be addressed with strategies similar to those developed for other types of buildings. Measurement of the building-like energy use in automobiles should be included in measures of automobile energy use. Attention to the building-like energy use in cars – particularly air conditioner energy use and efficiency, the thermal envelope, and the proliferation of additional appliances – can be part of a comprehensive strategy to increase automobile energy efficiency.

References

All-battery.com , 2008. www.all-battery.com/index.asp?PageAction=VIEWPROD&ProdID=451

Al-eds. 2008. <http://www.al-eds.com/product.php?productid=16265&cat=280&page=1>

Bosch, Robert. 1996. Automotive Handbook, 4th ed. Bentley Publishers, p. 813.

[CARB] California Air Resources Board. 2008. Draft regulatory language for Cool Car Standards and Test Procedures. www.arb.ca.gov/cc/cool-paints/cool_paints_reg_language_draft.pdf

Crutchfield, 2007. Car Stereos Frequently Asked Questions. http://www.crutchfieldadvisor.com/ISEO-rgbtcspd/learningcenter/car/car_stereo/faq.html#8

- Davis, Stacy, and Susan Diegel. 2007. *Transportation Energy Data Book*. Washington: U.S. Department of Energy.
- [DOE] Department of Energy. 2006. *2006 Buildings Energy Data Book*. Washington, D.C.: Building Technologies Program.
- [DOE and EPA] Department of Energy and Environmental Protection Agency. 2008. Advanced Technologies and Energy Efficiency. www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/atv.shtml
- [DOE] Department of Energy. 1995. Primer on Lead-Acid Storage Batteries, FSC-6910 hss.energy.gov/NuclearSafety/techstds/standard/hdbk1084/hdbk1084.pdf
- Feneske, George, Robert Erck, Layo Ajayi, Ali Erdemir, Osman Eryilmaz, 2006. Parasitic Energy Loss Mechanisms Impact on Vehicle System Efficiency, Project 15171, Argonne National Laboratory.
- Fischer, S.K., J.R. Sand. 2007. "Total Environmental Warming Impact (Tewi) Calculations for Alternative Automotive Air-Conditioning Systems." SAE 970526.
- Gado, Amr El-Sayed Alaa El-Din. 2006. Development of a Dynamic Test Facility for Environmental Control Systems, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park.
- Gates Corporation, Selecting the Right Drive System – costs and Performance. www.gates.com/brochure.cfm?brochure=5262&location_id=6196
- Hendricks, Terry. 2003. Multi-Variable Optimization of Electrically-Driven Vehicle Air Conditioning Systems Using Transient Performance Analysis Paper read at SAE Vehicle Thermal Management Systems.
- [IEA] International Energy Agency, 2006. *Light's Labour's Lost*. Paris: International Energy Agency. www.iea.org/Textbase/npsum/Light2006SUM.pdf
- JC Whitney www.jcwhitney.com/media/jcwhitney/jcw/Documents/SZC01_11671G.html
- Johnson, Valerie, 2002. Fuel Used for Vehicle Air Conditioning: A State by State Thermal Comfort Approach. SAE 2002-01-1957.
- Klepeis, N.E. et al. The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): a resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. J. Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology 11,231-252, 2001.
- Labkorea.com, 2008. www.labkorea.com/inverter.html
- Leech, J., W.C. Nelson, R.T. Burnett, S. Aaron, M.E. Raizenne. 2002. "It's about time: A comparison of Canadian and American time-activity patterns." *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, 12:427-432.

- Levine, C., T. Younglove, M. Barth. 2000. "Interaction of Temperature, Humidity, Driver Preferences, and Refrigerant Type on Air Conditioning Compressor Usage." *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 50:10, October.
- Meissner, Eberhard and Gerolf Richter. 2003. Battery Monitoring and Electrical Energy Management: Precondition for Future Vehicle Electric Power Systems. *J Power Systems* **116**, 79-98.
- Meissner, Eberhard, and Gerolf Richter. 2005. The challenge to the automotive battery industry: the battery has to become an increasingly integrated component within the vehicle electric power system. *Journal of Power Sources* 144 (2): 438-460.
- Mikhaylova, Zhanna, 2003. Power of a Car Stereo. The Physics Fact Book. <http://hypertextbook.com/facts/2003/ZhannaMikhaylova.shtml>
- Murphy, P. 2001. Spotlight on Automotive Interior Lighting. Machine Design. <http://machinedesign.com/ContentItem/70928/Spotlightonautomotiveinteriorlighting.aspx>
- [NESCCAF] Northeast States Center for a Clean Air Future. 2004. *Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Light-Duty Motor Vehicles*. Table D-2. September
- Polzin, S., X. Chu, L. Toole-Holt. 2004. "The Case for More Moderate Growth in VMT: a Critical Juncture in US Travel Behavior Trends." Slide 22. Presentation for US Department of Transportation, November 3.
- PPL, 2008. Car Refrigerator. <http://www.pplmotorhomes.com/parts/rv-refrigerators/car-refrigerator.htm>
- Rugh, John, Lawrence Chaney, Jason Lustbader, John Meyer, Mukesh Rustagi, Kurt Olson, and Rupert Kogler. 2007. Reduction in Vehicle Temperatures and Fuel Use from Cabin Ventilation, Solar-Reflective Paint, and New Solar-Reflective Glazing. Paper read at 2007 SAE World Congress, April 16-19, at Detroit.
- Rugh, John, Valerie Hovland, and Stephen O. Andersen. 2004. Significant Fuel Savings and Emission Reductions by Improving Vehicle Air Conditioners. 15th Annual Earth Technologies Forum and Mobile Air Conditioning Summit, April 15, at Washington.
- Smarthome, 2008. Comfortable 12-Volt Car Seat Cover Heats to Adjustable Levels <http://www.smarthome.com/92901b.html?src=WG1003498>
- SterlingTek, 2008. 150 Watt Power Inverter Car Adapter <http://sterlingtek.com/caradforalll.html>
- Turler, Daniel, Hopkins, Deborah, and Goudey, Howdy. Reducing Vehicle Auxiliary Loads Using Advanced Thermal Insulation and Window Technologies. Advances in Automotive Climate Control Technologies, 2003. SAE World Congress.

Z28 Forum, 2007. Car Audio-Wattage Explained.
<http://www.z28.com/forum/showthread.php?t=36069>

Zhai, Kelvin. Could Automotive HVAC Become an EPA/DOE Energy Star? [Future Transportation Technology Conference & Exposition, August 2001](#), Costa Mesa, CA, USA, Session: Energy, Environment and Economics.