

---

# PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT: ENERGY EFFICIENCY AS A RESOURCE IN RESTRUCTURED MARKETS

Frank Spasaro  
Sempra Energy Utilities  
April 15, 2003



## Overview

---

- Background
- Current California approach
- SDG&E Proposal
- Issues



## Description of Sempra Energy Utilities

---

- San Diego Gas & Electric Company
  - 3 million customers
  - 1.3 million electric meters; 780,000 gas meters
- Southern California Gas Company
  - 18 million customers
  - Over 5 million meters
- Sempra: Fortune 500 Company, \$6 Billion/year



3

## Resource Planning in California

---

- “Old” days
- Integrated Resource Planning (IRP)
- Restructured world (AB 1890)
- Post- Restructuring



4

## Portfolio Management What Does It Mean?

---

- “Resource Planning”
- Link supply-side to demand-side
- Forecasting ~ Resources
- Reliability, costs, net short, avoided costs, etc.

## California Situation

---

- Breakdown of California’s deregulated electricity market.
- Legislators push for re-involvement of California’s three major investor-owned utilities (IOUs) in power procurement.
- Legislators, State regulators, intervenors, and IOUs want energy efficiency and demand management to be a formal part of procurement.

## Energy Efficiency as a Resource

---

- CPUC Policy preference: “Resource adequacy should first be met through all cost-effective energy efficiency and demand response programs.”

## What does that mean?

---

- Need to determine what’s cost-effective → TRC
- Forecast supply options → Avoided costs
- Forecast EE potential (technologies, penetration rates, etc.)
- Impact of “current” programs (i.e. PGC programs)
- Understand issues with assumptions

## SEU Plan: Filing Today, 4/15

---

- Objective: To provide reliable electric supply to customers at the lowest possible cost, consistent with the customer's willingness to accept risk of price and supply reliability.
- 5 Year Plan:
  - 1,126 GWhrs, net
  - 176 MW, net
  - \$280 million

## Overall, w/other IOU's

---

- Current PGC Funding:
  - Annual approx. = \$230 million
  - 5 years = \$1.2 billion
- Incremental Energy Efficiency:
  - Annual approx. = \$144 million
  - 5 years over \$700 Million!

# How do we make it work?

## The Issues:

---

- Multi-year plans (approval/budgets)
- Assumptions
- Administration
- Incentives

## Multi-Year Plans

---

- History of PGC approval:
  - 1998 9 months (Jan-Sept)
  - 1998 3 months (Oct-Dec)
  - 1999 8½ months (Apr-Dec)
  - 2000 6¼ months (Jan-Jul)
  - 2000 5¼ months (Jul-Dec)
  - 2001 11 months (Feb-Dec)
  - 2002 3 months (Jan-Mar)
  - 2002 9¼ months (Mar-Dec)
  - 2002 2 months (Apr-May)
  - 2002 7½ months (May-Dec)
  - 2003 3½ months ? (Jan-Apr)
- Need multi-year approval!
- Need multi-year budgets!

## Assumptions

---

- Plan capitalizes on existing PGC portfolio.
- Focus on “hard” savings and demand reduction (i.e., resource/shared savings) programs with direct, tangible benefits for ratepayers.
- All the “normal” issues (incremental cost, avoided costs, penetration rates, NTG, etc.).

## Administration

---

- IOU long-term role in EE administration is undefined.
- The Commission has indicated a preference for third parties (\$100 million); New assigned Commissioner.
- Currently, Rulemaking is pending; IOU’s are out (again) 12/31/03.
- Issue of responsibility/accountability .

## Incentives

---

- Legislative Intent (AB57); Energy Action Plan
- Align customer and shareholder interests.
- Principles:
  - Simple to understand.
  - Simple to use.
  - Simple to verify.
  - Ensure predictable results.
- Minimum Performance Standard must be met for incentive eligibility.

## What's Next?

---

- Procurement Proceedings/Schedule:
  - 4/15 Filing
  - 5/15 Filing
  - July Hearings
  - Proposed Decision
- EE OIR ??
- EE PY 2004??



# Conclusion

---

» Q & A